3	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/608,301	KUMAR ET AL.
Exammer-induced interview commany	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher A. Revak	2131
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>after final</u>		
(1) <u>Christopher A. Revak</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jordan Becker</u> .	(4)	·
Date of Interview: 7 November 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: independent claims		
Prior art documents discussed: Okamoto et al		•
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
		,
de translation		
011		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 1,18,23,36 recite the limitation of "two or more plurality of transport streams *can have* different formats". The examiner indiciated that these claims were conditionally and the applicant's representative agreed to amend the claims. The reference of Okamoto et al disclosed of decoding mult-format transport streams, however the teachings failed to disclose that they were processed concurrently. The applicant's representative authorized the examiner to conduct an examiner's amendment to reflect these changes to the claims.